

WINNANA

BLACK

AT

CHRISTENSEN

22 SEPTEMBER - 10 DECEMBER

HANNAH BLACK

'SOME CONTEXT'

Chisenhale Gallery presents a new commission and the first solo exhibition in a UK institution by Hannah Black.

Some Context is structured around 20,000 copies of *The Situation*, a book made up of transcribed, edited and censored conversations between the artist and friends about 'the situation'. This theme is interpreted differently in each conversation. The books provide the stuffing – in shredded form – for the 'transitional objects' also displayed in the space, and will be shredded at the end of the exhibition.

In this new body of work Black continues to develop her enquiry into the production of, and the gaps between, practices and theories of subjectivity and collectivity. *Some Context* gestures towards the various potential uses of art's uselessness – as comfort, as worship, as text and as archive.

As part of the commissioning process, a programme of events has been devised in collaboration with Hannah Black. This includes a series of conversations in which participants have been invited to discuss a situation of their choice.

Hannah Black (born 1981, Manchester) lives and works in New York. Previous solo exhibitions include *Small Room*, mumok, Vienna; *Soc or Barb*, Bodega, New York (all 2017); and *Not You*, Arcadia Missa, London (2015). Selected group exhibitions include *In The Flesh (Part Two)*, Gallery Diet, Miami; and *Welt Am Draht*, Julia Stoschek Collection, Berlin (both 2016); *The Heart is a Lonely Hunter*, Yarat Contemporary Art Centre, Baku; and *Does Not Equal*, W139, Amsterdam, (both 2015). In 2017 her performance *OR LIFE OR* was presented at PS1 MoMA, New York. Her writing has been published in *Tank*, *The New Inquiry*, *Texte zur Kunst*, *Artforum* and *frieze d/e*. Her book *Dark Pool Party* (Dominica/Arcadia Missa) was published in 2016 and *Life* (Walther König/mumok), written in collaboration with Juliana Huxtable, was published in 2017.

LIST OF WORKS

Gallery, clockwise from entrance:

Carpet, 2017

Shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Transitional Objects (Waiting), 2017

Coat rack, fabric

Creatures, 2017

Newplast modeling clay

Various locations throughout the gallery

Transitional Object 1, 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Shredders 1-3, 2017

Three Fellowes Powershred 60Cs Cross-Cut Personal Shredder with Safesense Technology

The Situation, 2017

20.000 copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Transitional Object 2, 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Transitional Object 3, 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Shredders 4-8, 2017

Four Fellowes Powershred 60Cs Cross-Cut Personal Shredder with Safesense Technology

Transitional Object 4, 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Transitional Object 5 & 6 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

Ancient Alien Shredders 1-2, 2017

Two Fellowes Powershred 60Cs Cross-Cut Personal Shredder with Safesense Technology, engraved drawings

Transitional Object 7. 2017

Fabric, shredded copies of *The Situation* (2017)

TALKS & EVENTS

As part of the commissioning process, a programme of events has been devised in collaboration with Hannah Black. This includes a series of conversations in which participants have been invited to discuss a situation of their choice.

Wednesday 27 September, 7pm

A conversation between Derica Shields, writer, programmer and co-founder of The Future Weird, and Hudda Khaireh, an independent researcher and member of Thick/er Black Lines artist collective. This event is free to attend but booking is recommended. To reserve a place please visit our Eventbrite page [here](#).

Friday 6 October, 7pm

Hannah Black, Bonaventure (Soraya Lutangu) and Ebba Fransén Waldhör present an iteration of their ongoing performance collaboration, previously shown at MoMA PS1, New York (*OR LIFE OR*, 2017) and at the Institute of Contemporary Arts (ICA), London (*Anxietina*, 2016). This event is free to attend but booking is recommended. To reserve a place please visit our Eventbrite page [here](#).

Friday 13 October, 9–10.30am

An early morning viewing of Hannah Black's exhibition at Chisenhale Gallery, with an introduction to the work by Emma Moore, Offsite and Education Curator. Coffee and cakes generously provided by the East End Women's Institute. Free to attend, no booking required.

Thursday 19 October, 7pm

A conversation between Larne Abse Gogarty, art historian and critic, and Hannah Proctor, cultural historian and theorist, who have recently collaborated on a text titled *Communist Feelings*. This event is free to attend but booking is recommended. To reserve a place please visit our Eventbrite page [here](#).

Thursday 2 November, 7pm

A conversation between Ciarán Finlayson, writer and member of the political education collective Hic Rosa, and Marina Vishmidt, Lecturer in Culture Industry at Goldsmiths, University of London. This event is free to attend but booking is recommended. To reserve a place please visit our Eventbrite page [here](#).

Saturday 18 November, 4pm

A conversation between members of the Focus E15 Campaign, an organisation founded in 2013 to campaign for social housing in London. This event is free to attend but booking is recommended. To reserve a place please visit our Eventbrite page [here](#).

Thursday 7 December, 7pm

In association with First Thursdays, Eliel Jones, Exhibitions and Events Assistant at Chisenhale Gallery gives an introduction to Hannah Black's exhibition. Free to attend, no booking required.

Further events to be announced including conversations about 'the situation', between Hannah Black and friends, which will be broadcast in the exhibition space intermittently.

FIRST THURSDAYS

Thursday 5 October, 2 November and 7 December

In conjunction with late night gallery openings across East London, during exhibitions Chisenhale Gallery is open until 9pm on the first Thursday of each month. During Hannah Black's exhibition, evening events, including introductions by curatorial staff, are programmed in association with First Thursdays.

All events are free to attend, unless otherwise stated, but booking is strongly advised. Please visit chisenhale.eventbrite.co.uk or ask at the front desk to make a reservation.

BSL interpretation for events at Chisenhale Gallery is available on request. Please contact rachael.baskeyfield@chisenhale.org.uk for further information. Please be advised that two weeks' notice is required in order to confirm an interpreter.

INTERVIEW WITH HANNAH BLACK

*Ellen Greig: Your exhibition *Some Context* is structured around 20,000 copies of *The Situation*, a book made up of conversations between yourself and friends about ‘the situation’. Could you talk about the process of making the book and why you decided to invite these friends to talk about ‘the situation’?*

Hannah Black: It was partly responding to an ambient sense that we are living in a more pressing situation than usual; a situation that is hard to articulate both in terms of conventional categories of political analysis but also in terms of temporality. The idea that there is – in this post Brexit, post Trump era, and also in the era of increasing environmental catastrophe – a new and very tangible global situation that we all share. A shared condition that is both something that is emergent, which is happening now, and new. It has also opened out – in the traditional sense of ‘the apocalyptic is revelation’ – a new understanding of capitalist time as being also consistent and homogeneous, having constantly replayed the same kind of systems of domination for quite a long time. So it is this curious kind of fabric of what I am calling ‘the situation’ which is the idea that is both very new and very old – or its newness and oldness are kind of folded together – and that conventional ways of thinking and analysing things might not apply to it in the way they used to. In a way we are in a situation, a pressing situation, but this is also not new, and there is this kind of both new and old response to this both new and old situation.

On the other hand, this is my fourth-major show this year, including the performance at MoMA PS1, so I was also asking myself “What is a production process that I can actually give myself to?” I am not someone who works in a craft-based way. In fact, that has been something I have struggled with and I have had to come to some sort of accommodation with. In some weird, either romantic or modernist or whatever kind of way, I think I am still attached to this idea of the artist as an expert maker, which I am not by any means an expert maker. The transformational moment in my art education was during my foundation (which I did quite late in my mid twenties) when a teacher said “but mess is also an aesthetic”. I think the way that I have managed to enter into any kind of relation to making is through mess.

I was trying to think of what an emotionally authentic way of making would be – meaning not in the ontological sense of authentic, but authentic to feelings. What I spend most time doing is hanging out and talking to friends so I decided that that should be the production who are particularly expert in discussing a given situation and then at

some point I decided that it would just be people that I was spending time with. So for the most part everyone who appears in the book is a transcribed conversation with someone I was spending time with anyway and at some point I was like “do you mind doing a couple of hours of just talking?”.

EG: *What situations did you and your friends end up discussing?*

I initially thought that I could start by asking extremely generic questions, like “How is the situation?” or “Do you perceive there to be a situation? And if so, what is it?” or that kind of thing. From which – probably also because of the kind of people I hang out with – they instantly abstract some sort of global, historical question and there is only so many of those conversations you can have. At a certain point I started to ask people to pick a situation they would like to talk about. These range from someone who had just done an event the day before that had not gone how they had hoped and they wanted to talk about it, to someone talking about a sexual assault, to someone talking about a dynamic between a group of friends. For me it is somewhat – and this is one of those maybe poorly understood theoretical ideas that I have just held onto or whatever – connected to what I understand of Peter Osborne’s critique of the concept of the contemporary, which is a kind of impossible perspective or impossible position, because in order to fully encompass the global situation or the contemporary you would have to be viewing it from every possible position.

EG: *Yes, conversations move between personal accounts of a situation and broader political positions relating to a situation. Was it your intention to conduct the conversations like this?*

HB: I was ecstatic when people wanted to bring personal things into the conversation, and it was kind of unexpected who would and wouldn’t. There were people that I absolutely thought would only want to talk about something hardcore political and actually wanted to talk about something very personal, and the other way round. And of course everything becomes both, kind of. I am interested in those different kinds of scales. There is a quote that is unfortunately by Slavoj Žižek – who is obviously a terrible person and I just remembered it because I thought it was by somebody else and then I went to check it and I was like, “shit, it was Žižek”. But anyway, it is something about the ‘I’ as the site of this impossible articulation between the historical and the particular. In a way that is what the conversations are about.

In this sense, I think the content of *The Situation* is intimate rather than voyeuristic. I actually tried to deflect the possibility of a direct voyeuristic relation to the content through blurring the authorial voice, for example.

Of course if you know someone you can probably tell who is who, but if you don't you really can't. I've even blurred my voice and the speaking persons' voice together so that there are paragraphs where maybe even each alternate sentence is by somebody else.

EG: You have also censored the conversations as well. Why have you chosen to do this?

HB: Initially I was hoping that the only form of editing would be censorship but it felt a bit weird to have pages and pages of just blacked-out text. There were parts of the transcripts that were incomprehensible so there was a certain amount of editing that was needed. In the end it is a kind of hybrid between editing and censorship, with the primary editorial method being censorship. But inevitably I started censoring things such as typos or the word 'like'. Censoring was also a way of injecting a kind of privacy into what could otherwise seem like a self-exposing gesture. I am weirdly uninterested in the right to privacy; it doesn't excite me politically because it seems very 'boojie' as a concept. I am more interested in the sense of what you choose to share or not share.

In a way I feel like people are always already in the social –in the way the private comes after the social; like the private secret individual comes after the social so it is not like it is this existing natural category that has to be upheld. However, once you are out in the social sometimes you want to cover yourself or something. I think also the censorship – and the various strategies of veiling that I already mentioned that I use in the book – also expresses and reflects my own ambivalence towards being in public.

In my birth chart my North node, which is supposed to be your overall life purpose or what you are promised in this life and is based on the reincarnation model, is in cancer, but in the eighth house. Having a north node as eighth house Cancer basically means vulnerability in public. I have a huge amount of ambivalence about publicness. In the books the censorship and the blurring of the authorial voices is aimed towards an expression of some sort of ambivalence. When I talk about ambivalence I don't mean it as either one or the other, I mean a specific condition of life. I guess there is a negative sense of ambivalence because it can be very painful –ambivalence is painful– but it is also what we live in so it also opens out the idea of a kind of condition where contradictions can be contained.

Even the idea of a contradiction is a perspective trick. When I find the people I am close to contradictory I try and think that there must be some way that it is actually not a contradiction, that they must on some

level make sense, that they must make sense together because they do exist in the same person. There is no objective measure of whether or not you can both be incredibly attention seeking and incredibly introverted for example. Presumably there is some mechanism that would actually make that not contradictory to the people themselves. So I guess that is the promise of ambivalence.

EG: You have made a series of soft objects – in the shape of teddy bears – in various sizes and forms, and positioned these throughout the gallery space. You have shredded copies of The Situation and filled these objects with fragmented elements of these books. Can you talk about this process?

HB: Essentially there are the books, which are about ‘the situation’. Some of these books have been shredded and have metamorphosed into these soft objects titled, *Transitional Objects*. The phrase itself –transitional object– is a pretty conventional psychoanalytic term and it mainly has to do with something that is quite common in small children. I am not sure in what specific developmental stages it takes place, but it is usually around the time that you are individuating and learning that you are distinct from other people. It refers to a time when you start to understand yourself as an individual in a world full of other individuals; this kind of early learning that we have to do in relation to other people. At this stage it is common for children to become attached to a particular object, such as a blanket, or my sister had a soft toy cat called Angel that she still has. The term refers to objects that somehow mediate either what you think of as a gap between different concepts of yourself, or self and other. There is a really nice phrase in that psychoanalyst D.W Winnicott essay that I was reading about it.

EG: Did D.W Winnicott coin the term, ‘transitional objects’?

HB: Yes, he wrote an essay in the early 1950s about this practice where children would become fixated on a particular object. Winnicott refers to this as an act that mediates between an uncomfortable amount of certain indebtedness and the acceptance of indebtedness. I really like this idea because obviously debt is an important figure in, for example, Fred Moten’s work that I feel everyone has been finding so inspiring recently – the idea of some mutual interdependence or indebtedness and all the ways that are kind of mutual obligations or obligations to other people can be something that causes pain, or difficulty, or fails, or has to be repaired.

I was interested in the idea of the transitional object in relation to the transformation of ‘the situation’. This idea that we are all full of the situation and of these various thoughts about the situation, (obviously

not only the ones expressed in the book but I think that the proliferation of perspectives in the book represent an infinite proliferation of possible takes). My friends tend to have a similar kind of politics so you get what you pay for, but a potentially endless proliferation of thoughts, feelings and observations about any number of situations that are both shared and not shared, some not shared but relatable, some shared but you don't relate to... I mean there are so many different permutations of that. The *Transitional Objects* are filled with *The Situation* in different ways, in different capacities.

EG: Winnicott describes the transitional object as embodying both an 'acceptance of difference and familiarity', which I think is interesting in relation to what you were saying earlier about contradiction. The objects are both cute as well as slightly sinister.

HB: Yes, I wish I could remember more of this one thing that Roxanna Gay wrote about cuteness. There is some kind of limit condition thing in cuteness but I can't remember what she says about it. The teddies are almost hyper evocative in a way that is actually a bit out of control.

EG: You said the other day that the *Transitional Objects* are a bit 'emo'.

HB: Some of the things that I was trying out in the space early on were ridiculous; they could have been emo album covers or whatever. A teddy bear can signify so intensely. Really tiny gestures somehow produce these loud effects and I think – not that I am particularly formally driven – but it is something that I find interesting in formal practices is this idea that you take something really intense but then you try and control it.

I feel I have mentioned this in a bunch of things before but there was this very random French landscape painting that I happened to see in very random circumstances a few years ago that was called *The Blue Door*. The painting has a bright red bush in the foreground. I don't even remember if it was actually a good painting, but I was just really impressed –this is when I was like 25 or something– by the idea that you would use a central, really strong colour such as red in the work, but you would call the painting *The Blue Door* and the painting would still convincingly be of a blue door. So maybe it is a little bit like that with the teddies; you can use a teddy but try and have them not only be teddies, even though that is what they obviously are.

The series of *Transitional Objects* don't have features. Some of them are very classic teddies and then others are weird shapes. In a way they are kind of funny objects. Subjectivity is always a kind of contract or

deal, but in this case it is only one way. Despite being full of *The Situation*, maybe these objects can't offer recognition in return. It is so easy to give them personhood and we so readily give the teddies some kind of character, it is almost too easy. I had originally imagined that they would be somehow more dramatic in their positioning but I think to an extent they are just there and they already start to do all the weird things like, "oh that one is sad" or "this one is comforting".

EG: You have installed standard paper shredders – the type you find in offices – in the space. Some of the shredders have been marked with figures. Where have you sourced this imagery?

HB: Yes there are two shredders, *Ancient Alien Shredders 1-2* that are marked with drawings. These works reference the unusual failing of a white archeology that has been unable to perceive technologically complex or artistically complex cultural objects from places like Australia or Africa as being actually made by the people who live there, so rather they ascribe them to aliens. The drawings that are scratched on the shredders are sourced from blogs where people discuss conspiracy theories about how these kind of cave paintings were in fact made by aliens who came down to share their wisdom with the people or whatever, and of course this is not true. These images are just figures of people as drawn by the people who lived there. What I find interesting is that it is so inconceivable that they were drawn by actual people who weren't from Western Europe that they need these very elaborate theories like "this is actually an astronaut's suit that he is wearing and you can even see the seams" and bullshit like that.

This aspect of the exhibition relates to the fantasy of something external to the situation. For example, why would somebody imagine that the figure is an alien when it is just the figure of a person or an imaginary figure? Why would a European archeologist – or whoever is archiving this stuff – be so determined to read it in that way? It would in a way reveal something painfully true about the situation of colonisation or the situation of European supremacy, which there is no reason for it.

EG: The shredders in the space also indicate, and are the mechanism for the shredding of The Situation. When the exhibition closes in December you plan to shred the remaining books and make new soft objects out of them. Why do you want to do this?

HB: The shredders are in the space and ready for action, so in theory if an audience member wants to shred a book they are available for use. If a visitor did want to shred a book they would have to tear it apart into small pieces because they are just office shredders and they get blocked quite easily. Obviously, I do have the other part of what I do where I

have published two actual books; one was an element of the show at mumok, Vienna (*Life*, 2017, with Juliana Huxtable) and the other was just a book that I did (*Dark Pool Party*, 2016). But these books here are not being offered as my new book or like another book, they are objects whose existence is limited to the installation.

Therefore, the remaining books will all be shredded at the end of the exhibition and the paper will be used as the stuffing for more *Transitional Objects*. If an audience member wants to take a book, then that one would obviously not be shredded, so technically any bookshappen to go missing will survive in some kind of parallel archive. I think the idea that visitors can take a book should be like the EasyJet policy. You know when you take a bag under your coat they just pretend that you don't have it, even though there is obviously a bag under your coat? For example, if somebody starts walking out of the gallery with stacks of books maybe it would be good for the front of house person to be like "wow, these are very important artworks". However, if someone were to smuggle one past the very rigorous gallery staff, then the book would become something that is put on your bookshelf. It will survive the exhibition. It is similar to the conversation I did with friends – yes, I happened to record them, but we have conversations all the time about the situation that are not archived or documented, which in some abstract level, do add up to the situation that we are all sharing.

The Situation, and the *Transitional Objects* are made of, and manage the situation – they are the result of, and the caretakers of, the situation. Because the *Transitional Objects* are so weirdly relatable little things that they tap into this idea that we all have our different ways of dealing with whatever we perceive to be the situation that we are in.

EG: We've previously discussed the exhibition as a 'digestive apparatuses'. Can you expand on this idea?

HB: The exhibition addresses how 'the situation' becomes subjectivated as transitional objects. It is also a blurry idea of where the subjectivity is but they become subjectivated as these transitional objects that then, I imagine – and this is the imaginary part – themselves could get together and talk about the situation, record it and produce their own books that could then be destroyed in order to make a new thing. We have previously talked about the idea of ephemera vs.ephemerality; as in the ephemerality that then becomes ephemera and is given to the archive and then an actual ephemerality, which is that things pass in the sense that they become something else. The destructive and productive processes that take place cannot be clearly distinguished.

There is this feeling at the moment that literally all analytic categories have just collapsed; all become so self-evident that there is just no point saying them anymore. I watched the movie *Arrival* on the plane here and then I was tweeting about it because I was jet lagged and I was like “here is my theory on *Arrival*”. You are watching it and you are like, “oh it is kind of about colonisation” and then somebody in the film literally says “they are like a primitive race –they will treat us like a primitive race; they have to destroy you”. Oh I guess they were going to tell me that.

There is no point –the content has become too manifest. It was once like, “American presidents are like patriarchal and white supremacists” and blah, blah, blah and now it is like “Hi, I am patriarchal and white supremacist. Please vote for me! I love it!” The idea that it is a kind of an arcane critical position to say that capitalism isn’t working but now everyone just thinks, “yeah whatever it doesn’t really work but nobody can think of anything else”. I don’t know what critique that is. So I feel like it is just like “lets hang out and talk until we can work it out”.

EG: You have also made some small modeling clay sculptures that are installed throughout the exhibition space. Can you talk about the clay sculptures?

In my Bodega Gallery exhibition, *Soc or Barb* (2017) the clay was supposed to stand for some sort of infinite malleability of the human. At this point in my life I got into watching monkey and primate documentaries. I found it really inspiring because what we share most – maybe not only specific to primates, but specially pronounced in primates and monkeys – is being really adaptable. So for some groups of baboons they have very intense patriarchal social structures. However, if the food supply changes suddenly the social structure moves to a matriarchy. This represents a malleability of the social, not of the individual. In a way within the social the individual doesn’t have that many options, we are a bit constrained by who is around us, but the social as a whole is something very malleable and that is a kind of promise.

Perhaps it is that literally this *is* the condition. That people can think of new ways of being together and living together and some people will think “those people are terrible” but other people will think “they are great” and so on. Some people think that extremely disciplined fascism would be a wonderful social structure and they are really into that. And yes that is conceivable, people can live like that, they do live like that, and they don’t just lie down and die. People adapt and invent new ways of still feeling ok in horrific situations.

The modeling clay stands for this kind of principle, but not actualisation, of malleability or change or transformation, or potential for transformation rather than its actual happening. So I think here they act as a supporting role because in a way the feedback loop between the soft objects and the book is kind of tight. Maybe the soft objects need to be reminded that there is life outside the situation. But I hope it is also a little ambiguous as to whether the modeling clay creatures are actually helping or sabotaging. This thing came up in the Arcadia Missa install that I did in 2015 for my show *Not You*. I made these big brown paintings with scratches on them and at some point someone said “they are cute and hostile” and I said, “I am cute and hostile”. I hope that what is happening in the show here is that teddies, the shredders, the books and everything else, is within the cute and hostile aesthetic. It is kind of a plea for those two things to be taken as one, or something.

Interviewed by Ellen Greig, Curator: Commissions, Chisenhale Gallery, on Thursday 14 August 2017. Chisenhale Gallery, London. Chisenhale Interviews, series editor, Polly Staple, Director, Chisenhale Gallery.

A note from Hannah Black: “Ironically, considering I spent the summer reading transcripts of myself and others speaking, I can’t bring myself to re-read this interview. I remember feeling sincere as I spoke, so probably this is what I meant at the time, even if I don’t trust that version of myself any more. I hope it is OK.”

READING LIST

A reading list of texts, books and articles has been compiled in collaboration with Hannah Black to accompany her exhibition, *Some Context*, at Chisenhale Gallery. This resource expands on ideas raised through Black's new commission. Included is previous writing by Black, such as her publications *Dark Pool Party* (DOMINICA/Arcadia Missa, 2016) and *Life*, with Juliana Huxtable, (mumok, 2017); essays and books that provide reference and further context to the work; and a selection of writings by contributors to *The Situation* (2017).

Abreu, M. A. (2017). *Three Poems by Manuel Arturo Abreu*. [online] The Believer Logger. Available at: <https://logger.believermag.com/post/three-poems-by-manuel-arturo-abreu> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Aima, R. (2017). *Body Party: Hannah Black*. Mousse Magazine, [online] (57). Available at: <http://moussemagazine.it/rahel-aima-hannah-black-2017/> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2014). *My Bodies*. [video] Available at: <https://vimeo.com/85906379> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2016). *Apocalypse Tourism*. [online] The Towner. Available at: <http://www.thetowner.com/apocalypsetourism/> [Accessed 9 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2015). *Long term effects*. In: K. Williams, H. Black, R. Johnson, A. Zett, S. M Harrison and S. Kotecha, *After the eclipse*. [online] Available at: <http://www.annazett.net/pdf/AFTER%20THE%20ECLIPSE.pdf> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2015). *Some of the police officers spent up to 10 years pretending to be people who had died*. In: E. Ryan, ed., *Oh wicked flesh!*. London: South London Gallery.

Black, H. (2016). [Readings] | *A Kind of Grace*, by Hannah Black | Harper's Magazine. [online] Harper's magazine. Available at: <https://harpers.org/archive/2016/03/a-kind-of-grace/> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2016). *Dark Pool Party*. DOMINICA/Arcadia Missa.

Black, H. (2017). *Capacity*. [video] Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ybZ71lqmbjA> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. (2017). *The Identity Artist and the Identity Critic*. Artforum, [online] (Summer 2016). Available at: <https://www.artforum.com/inprint/issue=201606&id=60105> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Black, H. and Huxtable, J. (2017). *Life*. Köln: mumok / König.

Black, H. (2017). In: J. Aranda, A. Avanesian, H. Black, A. Cotten, P. Feigelfeld, K. Golsmith, A. Hennig, C. Martínez, Momus, I. Niermann, F. Ramos, E. Segal, *The Wild Book of Inventions*. [online] Available at: <https://www.fhnw.ch/de/publikationen/the-wild-book-of-inventions> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Bradley, K. (2017). *Review: Hannah Black at mumok*. [online] Artreview.com. Available at: https://artreview.com/reviews/ar_summer_2017_review_hannah_black/ [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Dean, A. (2016). *Beyoncé's Lemonade*. [online] The White Pube. Available at: <http://www.thewhitepube.co.uk/beyonce-s-lemonade-by-aria-dean> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Dean, A. (2016). *Poor Meme, Rich Meme*. [online] Real Life. Available at: <http://reallifemag.com/poor-meme-rich-meme/> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Doherty, C. (2009). *Situation*. London: Whitechapel Gallery [u.a.].

Fateman, J. (2015). *Women on The Verge: Art, Feminism and Social Media*. Artforum. [online] Available at: <https://www.artforum.com/inprint/issue=201504&id=50736> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Feiss, E. C. (2015). *Autonomy for a 'new world'?*. [online] Radical Philosophy. Available at: <https://www.radicalphilosophy.com/news/autonomy-for-a-new-world> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Goldsmiths University (2016). *Hannah Black • In Conversation*. [video] Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xG9VwSLV5I0> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Hartman, S. (2010). *Scenes of subjection*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.

Hartman, S. (2015). *Saidiya Hartman reading from Wayward Lives*. [video] Available at: <https://vimeo.com/144305401> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

McClodden, T. (2017). *Tiona McClodden - Home*. [online] Available at: <http://www.tionam.com/> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

McCulloch, S. (2015). *A Thing Of A Thing That Is Just A Thing: Self-Care With Hannah Black*. [online] The Hairpin. Available at: <https://www.thehairpin.com/2015/04/a-thing-of-a-thing-that-is-just-a-thing-self-care-with-hannah-black/> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Moten, F. (2015). *Blackness and Nonperformance | AFTERLIVES | MoMA LIVE*. [video] Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G2leiFByIIg> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Nestor, H. (2016). *Hannah Black - Reviews - Art in America*. [online] Artinamericamagazine.com. Available at: <http://www.artinamericamagazine.com/reviews/hannah-black/> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Pérez, F. S. (2015). *Wordly Treasures*. [online] The New Inquiry. Available at: <https://thenewinquiry.com/wordly-treasures/> [Accessed 9 Sep. 2017].

Potts, A. (2007). *The Enduringly Ephemeral*. Tate Papers, [online] Autumn 2007(8). Available at: <http://www.tate.org.uk/research/publications/tate-papers/08/the-enduringly-ephemeral> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Proctor, H. (2014). *Reason Displaces All Love*. [online] The New Inquiry. Available at: <https://thenewinquiry.com/reason-displaces-all-love/> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Spillers, H. (1987). *Mama's Baby, Papa's Maybe: An American Grammar Book*. Diacritics, [online] 17(2), p.64. Available at: https://people.ucsc.edu/~nmitchel/hortense_spillers_-_mamas_baby_papas_maybe.pdf [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

The New Yorker. (2017). *Hannah Black at Bodega*. [online] Available at: <http://www.newyorker.com/goings-on-about-town/art/hannah-black> [Accessed 7 Sep. 2017].

Zavitsanos, C. and McArthur, P. (2013). *Other forms of conviviality | Park McArthur and Constantina Zavitsanos*. [online] Women & Performance. Available at: <https://www.womenandperformance.org/ampersand/ampersand-articles/other-forms-of-conviviality.html> [Accessed 8 Sep. 2017].

Zett, A. and Edmonson, T. (2016). *Artist Profile: Anna Zett*. [online] Rhizome. Available at: <https://rhizome.org/editorial/2016/aug/25/artist-profile-anna-zett/> [Accessed 9 Sep. 2017].

THANKS

Hannah Black would like to thank:

Manuel Arturo Abreu, Ciara Bergman, E15 Campaign, Jesse Darling,
Aria Dean, Kodwo Eshun, Rózsa Farkas, Ellen Feiss, Ciarán Finlayson,
Melanie Gilligan, Larne Abse Gogarty, Adelita Husni-Bey,
Evan Ifekoya, Hudda Khairah, Taylor Le Melle, Blueu Liverpool,
Soraya Lutangu, Tiffany Malakooti, Mend Mariwany,
Tiona McClodden, Everett Narciso, Melika Ngombe, Rowan Powell,
Hannah Proctor, Paco Salas Pérez, Derica Shields, Sean Henry-Smith,
Maysam Taher, Rachel O'Reilley, Marina Vishmidt,
Constantina Zavitsanos, Anna Zett and the Chisenhale Gallery team.

Extra special thanks to Ebba Fransén Waldhör.

Production support: Mark Couzens, Jammie Nicholas, Sam Tierney and
Lucy Woodhouse.

CHISENHALE GALLERY

64 Chisenhale Road, London, E3 5QZ

+44 (0)20 8981 4518

www.chisenhale.org.uk

Registered Charity no. 1026175

Opening hours:

Wednesday to Sunday 12-6pm

Thursday 5 October, 2 November and 7 December until 9pm

Opening hours during Frieze London:

Monday 2 October to Sunday 8 October, 12 – 6pm

Thursday 5 October and Friday 6 October, 12 – 9pm

Hannah Black's exhibition is supported by:



Supported using public funding by
**ARTS COUNCIL
ENGLAND**

LUMA
FOUNDATION

The Elephant Trust



Supported using public funding by
**ARTS COUNCIL
ENGLAND**

Lead Supporter: Shane Akeroyd.

Hannah Black's exhibition at Chisenhale Gallery is supported by Fabiana Marengi Vaselli Bond; Julia Stoschek; The Elephant Trust; and Arts Council England Grants for the Arts. With additional support from the Hannah Black Supporters Circle.

Chisenhale Gallery's Commissions Programme 2017-19 is supported by the LUMA Foundation.

Chisenhale Gallery's Curatorial Trainee Programme 2016-18 is supported by Sirine and Ahmad Abu Ghazaleh.

Chisenhale Gallery's Talks & Events Programme 2017 is supported by Helen Thorpe.