

ED
FORNIELES
AT
CHISENHOLE

19 SEPTEMBER - 9 NOVEMBER 2014

TALKS & EVENTS

Tuesday 30 September, 9-10.30am

A coffee morning and special viewing of the exhibition with cakes generously provided by the East End Women's Institute.

Saturday 4 October, 3pm

Lucien Young, comedian and co-writer of BBC3's *Siblings*, leads a workshop in sitcom writing. Participants will learn about character and plot development and work together to develop a new sitcom premise.

Tuesday 7 October, 7pm

Ed Fornieles in conversation with Ben Vickers, Curator of Digital at Serpentine Galleries, facilitator of unMonastery and Co-Director of LIMAZULU Project Space; and Katie Guggenheim, Exhibitions and Events Organiser, Chisenhale Gallery.

Saturday 18 October, 12-6pm

A family of characters activate the exhibition in a day-long performance devised by Ed Fornieles.

Saturday 8 November, 3pm

A tour of the exhibition led by critic and writer Michael Archer.

All events are free to attend but booking is advised. Please visit chisenhale.eventbrite.co.uk to reserve a place.

LIMITED EDITION

Let's Be Cops, 2014

Hand-etched glass plates, acrylic paint, custom steel shelf.
Glass: 400 x 300 x 6 mm (2 parts); shelf: dimensions variable.
Edition of 30+5APs

Special launch price: £550

Chisenhale Friends' price: £495

'MODERN FAMILY'

ED FORNIELES

Chisenhale Gallery presents a major new body of work by the London and Los Angeles-based artist Ed Fornieles for his first solo exhibition in a UK institution. A new online work forms the basis of the commission and is presented alongside sculpture and performance within an ambitious installation environment.

Modern Family skews the material logic of home improvement, sitcom architecture and the shopping mall, taking us on a journey to a distant yet familiar suburban land. Fornieles invites you to join the family BBQ as an artificial Californian sun sets over the gallery. The exhibition evokes a distorted 'Pinterest reality' derived from the aspirational online image-sharing platform, which, along with home decor magazines, has come to present a contemporary definition of the American 'good life'. The installation plays with scale and spatial perspective to create a cartoonish landscape of sculptures, combining high-spec finishes and new technology with breakfast cereal, DIY home tiling techniques and a 'living room materiality'.

A cast of archetypal family members – characters tapped from TV shows, film genres and the L.A. suburbs – are evoked throughout the installation. Periodically, they are embodied by a series of performers who activate the exhibition through movements choreographed from lifestyle-based behaviours. Fornieles is interested in the family as a device for the replication and perpetuation of social norms. He brings into question its role as a responsive, resilient model for the safe assertion of individual subjectivity, within the cultural hegemony of middle class America. For Fornieles, the family provides a baseline narrative, in which everyone is assigned a role and against which we are compelled to define ourselves.

Fornieles creates exhibitions and performances that enact a collapse between online and offline spaces. Central to his exhibition at Chisenhale Gallery, the new website uses search terms to draw on live content, aggregating news feeds and social media profiles in real time, as stories unfold and people interact online. Fornieles utilises the huge amounts of freely available personal and public data feeding our attention economy, where value is determined by likes, shares and reposts. Tumblr, Instagram, Twitter and Google generate a shifting backdrop to the installation; one which is ever-contemporary and continuously updated, sustained by new trends, current events and personal lives.

This new work builds on Fornieles's recent sculptures, installations and web-based projects – such as the 'Facebook sitcom', *Dormdaze* (2011) and *Maybe New Friends* (2013), an ongoing performance by Twitterbots – which explore the impact of the virtual on the physical world. Whereas these previous forms of internet-based work have focused on the interactive potential of the medium and the empowerment and optimism that this implies, with *Modern Family* Fornieles adopts a position normally inhabited by marketing companies or government programs like the US-based PRISM. Operating in this complex territory, this new body of work mirrors a reality constructed from our own collective desires and subjectivities, confronting the mechanics of the Internet and revealing the dichotomy between dystopic banality and the pleasure of excess.

Visitors are welcome to relax in the gazebo, sit at the picnic table and rest on the bed.

Visit www.edfornieles.com to see the website featured in the exhibition

The exhibition contains imagery which some visitors may find offensive or disturbing, including images with violent and sexual content.

Parental guidance is required for visitors under 18 years of age; visitors under 18 years of age who are unaccompanied by an adult will not be admitted.

Ed Fornieles (born 1983, Petersfield) lives and works in London and Los Angeles. Recent solo exhibitions and projects include *Despicable Me 2*, Mihai Nicodim Gallery, Los Angeles (2013); *The Dreamy Awards*, Park Nights, Serpentine Gallery, London (2012); *Characterdate*, Frieze Frame, Frieze London (2012); and *Happy Days in Basel*, Theater Basel (2012). Recent performances include *New York New York Happy Happy (NY NY HP HP)* for Rhizome, New Museum, New York (2013) and *Pool Party Plays Itself*, MOCAtv, Los Angeles (2013). Fornieles participated in *Meanwhile... Suddenly, and Then*, the 12th Biennale de Lyon (2013).

MODERN FAMILY

With thanks to:

Ian Daniell (Ed Fornieles's Head Assistant); Matthew Robinson (Chisenhale Gallery's Head Technician); Mark Couzens, Robbie Howells, Perce Jerome, Jammie Nicholas (Technicians); Julian Mills Arnold, Tom Cavil, Simon Whybray (website); Sam Belinfante (programmer); Lily Ah, Rachel Benson, Menna Cominetti, Yu'an Haung, Hannah Abel Hirsch, Poppy Millett, Josh Parker, Eugene Scrace, Timmy Whitehouse (Studio Assistants); Fernanda Munoz-Newsome (Choreographer); Jiten Wadhia Tailor; Eli Fornieles, Vicki Fornieles (Assistant Tailors); Richard Roome (Electrician); David Yahuda (Art Handler); Andy Keate (installation photography); Mark Blower (photography, exhibition opening); Damien Fry (photography, hot tub party); Maria Eisl (photography, kids party).

Performers:

Esmé Acheson (Alex Dunphy), Laura Doehler (Claire Dunphy), Delphine Gaborit (Claire Dunphy), Amy Lawrence (Hayley Dunphy), Elinor Lewis (Alex Dunphy), Hamish MacPherson (Phil Dunphy), Flora Wellesley Wesley (Hayley Dunphy).

Special thanks to:

Ben Vickers, Harry Burke, Brian Droitcour, Hanna Nilsson, Rasmus Svensson, Oscar Khan, Lauren Rae, Atiena Riollet, Jonny Winter, Laura Buckley, Rose Gibbs, Alba Gibbs Joffe, Immo Klink, Heidi Knudsen, Ingrid Berthon Moin.

Ed Fornieles, *Modern Family* is commissioned and produced by Chisenhale Gallery.

Ed Fornieles's commission is supported by the Genesis Prize, BERTHOLD, Anita Zabłudowicz, Yana Peel, Alexandra Economou and the Ed Fornieles Supporters Circle. With thanks to Carlos/ Ishikawa.

Chisenhale Exhibitions Partner 2014: Fiorucci Art Trust

CHISENHALE INTERVIEWS: ED FORNIELES

Katie Guggenheim: *So, Ed, we've been installing your show for two weeks now. It's an intense process and so it's good that we've stepped out of the gallery and come to the park. I'd like to start by asking you about the website. It exists online, and people can access it there, but it's also presented on screens in the gallery and it's an important part of the exhibition.*

Ed Fornieles: The website aggregates content from thousands of users, search terms and hashtags on a bunch of different platforms, like Tumblr, Instagram and Google, to generate different compositions. To begin with we've started feeding it with the most popular sources and search terms which key into the show. We're getting a lot of nails, newly-borns, porn, food porn, and selfies.

KG: *How do you source the content though? Which hashtags do you search for?*

EF: Popularity is a defining logic but there's also the thematics around the show – the family – and whatever is popular at the moment. Coffee is good: #coffee #cafe #cafelife #coffeeaddict #coffeelover #coffeeholic #coffeegram #coffeeoftheday... Sneakers are a good example of something that will always be popular but the content changes, so generic hashtags – like #instakicks #sneakerhead #sneakerfiend #shoegasm #peepmysneaks #flykicks – would have pulled up different images this time last year and will pull different images this time next year, and into the future.

This exhibition marks the birth of the site. It will grow over time, incorporating more and more sources, such as Google News, Pinterest, Facebook, Twitter, and spawn more and more compositions and layouts. We're also getting into big data, saving each file used so we'll have a record of the kinds of images people are using and developing trends.

You know, I think every time someone uploads, comments or reposts, they are asking themselves the question 'who am I?' and that's what I'm interested in.

KG: *What's exciting about the website, but also slightly terrifying, is that the content is streamed live, so whenever someone uploads an image on a Tumblr account that you're accessing, or uses a search term that you've selected, then it appears on the screens in the exhibition. What degree of control do you have over the content of this work?*

EF: Well, for example, there is pornography and that's because there is a lot of pornography online. That's the reason that that's there. It's important. Particularly in relation to the idea of the family and the household: I think it's the landscape we all exist in: one family member is in their bedroom searching for Hentai on Tumblr while in another part of the house someone's reposting interiors on Pinterest and someone else is updating their LinkedIn profile.

In terms of the aesthetic success of the compositions, I have control when I'm setting it up but that's all relinquished the moment it goes live. From that point I'm interested in a ratio of success: how often the sources chime with each other.

KG: *It raises questions about responsibility, though. How do you position yourself in relation to the content that is produced?*

EF: Generally I like to work by building a structure where stuff – performative actions, people's online realities, immaterial experiments, games – can play itself out. I create a structure that then gets inhabited, and that might be the studio, or the production of a website. I suppose the responsibility – for the moral and the aesthetic side of things – is mapped out in the construction of the platform. There's no wrong or right and because everything is dictated by the momentum and the sources it's feeding off.

KG: *It's a bit like starting the car and then going and sitting in the back seat... You're a participant in, or even an observer of, a situation that you've set in motion. What interests you about that as a way of working?*

EF: What's most exciting is the moment of losing control. At that point I am equal to other participants. And that, if it can be achieved, is good. I think a lot of artists ask what material they should use, what sort of form or subject matter they should be interested in... but I feel like I'm building this big machine that has its own logic. When the machine is telling me what to do, that's when I'm at my happiest.

KG: *What do you like about that?*

EF: That I have no control over it. With the performances, I like the moment when I lose control and people are just doing what they're doing. That's important.

KG: *Why is that important?*

EF: I think the idea of the auteur – of designing something – is limited, because everything is pre-set, whereas I have no idea what the end product will be and I quite like being shocked and slightly disturbed by what comes out. Escalation is important to me: to keep pushing and pushing and pushing until either it breaks or it starts working.

KG: You've had a large team of people working on this show, like a strange extended family. As well as a team of assistants and technicians working on the install you've also had programmers, a choreographer, dancers, even a tailor: it's like the crew on a film set.

EF: That's definitely a model I subscribe to. Often I find myself saying, 'when we're making work' – it's always a 'we' because I'm always just one person in a crazy production and that's exciting. I'm interested in mass collaboration and dialogue. With every film that's made you have the director but also the cinematographer, the writer... there's this mass of energy that will make the film a reality.

KG: You moved your studio back to London from Los Angeles for the summer and you've been working there with a team of assistants. You held a series of parties, making use of elements that are now in the exhibition, like the hot tub and the barbeque. You also had a party for kids and a hot tub party at Chisenhale during the exhibition install.

EF: Especially at the studio, the function of the parties was to generate material, dirtying, patina-ing, generating objects... it was important for this work to be inhabited. I love mess and I have a great pleasure in existing in a dirty studio environment.

KG: There was a very intense energy around the studio and the group of people there. By the end you were even wearing matching shirts. How close did this environment come to the situations you've set up in previous performances and events with large groups?

EF: The studio also became a structure or a platform, like I was saying before; a platform in the same way that Facebook is a platform: it doesn't tell you what to write but provides the context for you to interact with your friends and represent yourself and broadcast to other people. *Dorm Daze* (2011), and the other live performances that I've done, have hopefully become a platform for people to inhabit. The studio as well.

KG: The hot tub was certainly inhabited...

EF: The hot tub is important. A lot of people in California have hot tubs and it's the sleaziest place in the house. It's where the parents party when the kids are out and the kids party when the parents are out. As soon as you enter the warm, bubbly water something flips. With the performances and the parties I'm interested in the genuine experiences people have: when people stop thinking and they're just simply acting. Only in retrospect can they make sense of their interactions, that's an interesting place.

KG: *Is there a parallel there with the way people behave online?*

EF: It's so easy to hate online. I've been trolled before and when you meet the person who's been trolling you in real life they can't maintain it anymore and the whole attack breaks down. Online environments allow certain ways of thinking and speaking. When you meet someone in real life you have to review your behaviour.

KG: *The exhibition is quite overwhelming. How would you like people to feel when they enter the space?*

EF: I like the idea that people would be, like 'oh my god, whoa'. The environments that the exhibition is taken from are very high-octane, like the shopping mall, the LA garden, like Disneyland. As I was saying about the performances, when someone's in character and they stop thinking for a moment and they're just in it... I think that's exciting if you can do that in a gallery context: if you stop thinking and you're just in it. If that could be achieved for a millisecond then I'd be quite happy.

KG: *Could you explain what's happening with the lighting and the music?*

EF: There are cycles going on in the lighting, from light to dark, and that's reflected in the music, which is mainly taken from film soundtracks. It's this idea of narrative: a beginning, middle and end. It normally ends on a happy note, sometimes a sad note, and in between it takes you on a journey. There's a different experience to be had from looking at the website, or at a sculpture, when listening to a happy song or a sad song. Likewise, your initial perception of the exhibition when you enter depends on what point the lighting cycle is at. Everyone has a fundamentally different experience and no one can see everything: I can't see everything, there's just too much.

KG: *Could you say something about the decision making process behind the sculptures? The gazebo and the platforms, for example, are taken directly from Google Images – if you search for 'contemporary*

garden design' then they are some of the first results – and we just made them out of ply.

EF: That's true of a lot of my work, that I use popularity as a defining logic, so with the websites, it's search terms and hashtags. I like the idea of choosing popular imagery that's happens to be in the general consciousness and just downloading it, almost, into the sculpture. The images in the hot tub are simple associations from a general, party emoticon culture. Of course the Eiffel Tower goes with the glass of champagne, which goes with the heart, which goes with the lipstick...

KG: *How do you feel the work in this exhibition relates to the traditional notion of sculpture as self-contained object?*

EF: I see the sculptures as nodes in a big network. The sculptures point to the website, which points back at the performances and other pieces of work. It's this odd matrix.

KG: *What about the 'modern family'? How do they fit in? Why did you take the title of your show from the TV series?*

EF: The family is important. Society replicates itself through the family: it's how we all came into the world and where we define our norms. In the show there are sculptural works that point to roles. The trousers are definitely an authority figure in the room. I read them as maternal but I think that's because my mother wore the trousers in our household. For other people they are the father's.

The big fat man is 'father as man-child', who is at the heart of Homer from *The Simpsons* or Peter Griffin from *Family Guy*: a character who negates his responsibilities by entering this animalistic, child like dance state often provoked by simple pleasures like beer.

KG: *This is all coming from an extremely conservative place, which sits awkwardly in the context of art, where a more radical political perspective is the norm.*

EF: I think the artist always tends to be the indie kid and they define themselves against the central narrative, whereas I think looking at the centre is important. I like to think of this work as a mirror: not a passive device but one that makes you aware – you notice your hair isn't quite right, or the spinach in between your teeth – but you become aware of yourself within a context.

I've come under attack for some of the misogynistic content in my

work: the porn in this show, or performances, when people have adopted certain archetypes that I've given them and then found themselves behaving in certain ways or being treated in certain ways. Like the *Psyops* project two summers ago that went a bit dark. There was someone called Matt who took on a character called Nick for a few months and he ended up being quite messianic. He knew how to manipulate people and he did. When he came out of the character he was quite disgusted with that character and how it was in the archetype but also in him as well.

The work is supposed to create a sense of unease. Stereotypes and clichés are very important. Like in *Modern Family*, real change in society requires a mutation of the stereotype and without that no real change has occurred.

KG: *We've cast dancers in the roles of the central characters in Modern Family and one performer will inhabit the exhibition every day. You've described them as 'human gifs'. Could you explain what you mean?*

EF: Their movements are very slight and repetitive. The logic of it is a bit like Scrooge going back in time in *A Christmas Carol*, when he can see reality but not interact with it. They might meet your eye, but that's a coincidence. There might be a moment when you feel like you have a genuine interaction but it's actually a re-run: it's a videotape going back and forth, which feels a little unsettling.

KG: *In this light some of the motivational slogans in the show seem a little ironic, or at least disingenuous.*

EF: They're not ironic at all. 'Be yourself' and 'we are one' are the two main statements you find everywhere, from online quotes to advertising slogans. They're truisms that you hear again and again. Also, there's this thing where although we are born into the cult of the individual, we see ourselves as this giant networked society at the same time. There is an odd tension between the statements in the show.

It's like the *Lego Movie*, which I love and you should watch if you haven't seen it: 'Everything is awesome, everything is cool when you're part of a team'. Feeling like part of a team or part of a group during the install has been fun too. Struggle is quite important, I think, and when you struggle together something interesting happens.

Ed Fornieles interviewed by Katie Guggenheim, Exhibitions and Events, Curator, Chisenhale Gallery, September 2014. Chisenhale Interviews, series editor, Polly Staple, Director, Chisenhale Gallery.

CHISENHALE GALLERY

64 Chisenhale Road, London, E3 5QZ

+44 (0)20 8981 4518

www.chisenhale.org.uk

Registered Charity no. 1026175

Opening hours

Wednesday to Sunday 1-6pm

Thursday 2 October and Thursday 6 November until 9pm

During Frieze London 2014 Chisenhale Gallery is open for extended hours. Monday 13 to Friday 17 October, 10am-6pm; Saturday 18 and Sunday 19 October, 12-6pm.



Supported using public funding by
**ARTS COUNCIL
ENGLAND**



firstthursdays
London
Late night on on the First Thursday of the month in East London
Supported by Arts Council England